

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please amend claims 1, 4, 6, 8 and 10, and add new claims 13-14 as follows:

1. (Currently Amended) A training assistant system comprising:
 - a training task presentation unit for presenting a training task ~~and a training content~~ to a trainee having damage in the brain;
 - a trainee's response collection unit for collecting, from the trainee, a response in accordance with the training task ~~and the training content~~;
 - a brain activity measurement unit for measuring brain activity at a plurality of brain regions of the trainee;
means for searching a region of interest among the plurality of brain regions by comparing a response from the trainee's response collection unit with measurement results from the brain activity measurement unit; and
 - an information processor for controlling presentation by said training task presentation unit and for determining a next training task to be performed depending upon the region of interest searched by said means for searching such that at least a first result of the response obtained from the trainee's response collection unit and a second result of measuring the brain activity of the trainee in a training execution process, which is obtained from said brain activity measurement unit, are used to decide the next training task to be performed,
 - ~~wherein said brain activity measurement unit measures the brain activity at each of a plurality of regions in the brain, and includes a selection unit for selecting, among said plurality of regions, a region of interest which has the damage in the brain and is used to evaluate a result of training and to determine the next training task to be performed.~~
2. (Cancelled)
3. (Previously Presented) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said information processor controls said training task presentation unit such that a task for searching the region of interest executed prior to the presentation of said training task.

4. (Currently Amended) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said information processor sets evaluation criteria for the ~~first result~~ response of training the trainee and evaluates said ~~first result~~ response of training the trainee based on the evaluation criteria.
5. (Previously Presented) A training assistant system according to claim 4, wherein said evaluation criteria include a response time and a correct answer rate.
6. (Currently Amended) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said information processor sets evaluation criteria for the ~~second result of training the trainee~~ measurement results from the brain activity measurement unit and evaluates said measurement results ~~second result of training the trainee~~ based on the evaluation criteria.
7. (Previously Presented) A training assistant system according to claim 6, wherein said evaluation criteria includes a change percentage in a peak value of the brain activity.
8. (Currently Amended) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said information processor sets evaluation criteria for the ~~[[first]]~~ response from the trainee's response collection unit and the measurement results from the brain activity measurement unit ~~second results of training the trainee~~ and evaluates said ~~[[first]]~~ response and ~~second measurement results of training the trainee~~ based on the evaluation criteria.
9. (Previously Presented) A training assistant system according to claim 8, wherein said evaluation criteria include a response time, a correct answer rate and a change percentage in a peak value of the brain activity.
10. (Currently Amended) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said means for searching selection unit compares a first timing of the response ~~obtained~~ from the trainee's response collection unit and a plurality of second timings of the measurement results from the brain activity measurement unit ~~brain activity in the~~

~~regions in the brain~~, and selects the region of interest by judging synchronism between the first timing and the second timings.

11. (Previously Presented) A training assistant system according to claim 10, wherein the synchronism between the first timing and the second timings is judged by using a correlation coefficient or a calculation method.
12. (Previously Presented) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said training task is presented via at least images or sounds.
13. (New) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein the training task presentation unit presents said new training task to the trainee,
a response to said new training task from the trainee's response collection unit is compared with measurement results of said new training task from the brain activity measurement unit to evaluate a result of training so as to decide another new training task to be performed.
14. (New) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said means for searching locates the region of interest without using information of a damage location in the brain.